



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/562,865

10/04/2006

Arkady Glukhovsky

P-5857-US

6757

49443 7590 05/12/2008  
Pearl Cohen Zedek Latzer, LLP  
1500 Broadway  
12th Floor  
New York, NY 10036

EXAMINER

LARYEA, LAWRENCE N

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3768

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/12/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/562,865	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GLUKHOVSKY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> LAWRENCE N. LARYEA	<b>Art Unit</b> 3768	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lawrence N. Laryea.

(3) Caleb Pollack.

(2) Eric Winakur.

(4) Rachel Bentov.

Date of Interview: 01 May 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 41.

Identification of prior art discussed: Iddan et al (Patent 5604531).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant gave an overview of their invention, and discussed proposed amendments. Examiner suggested some amendments (limitations) to Claim 41 for clarification such as replacing "in vivo signals" with "signals transmitted from within a living being" and "antennas are configured to receive signals transmitted from within a living being" Applicant will take into consideration of these limitations when filing for response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Eric F Winakur/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3768

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required